#### DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

#### MEMORANDUM FOR 436 CES/CEV

FROM: 436 MSG/CC

SUBJECT: Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) - Destruction of clubhouse facility

- 1. Dover AFB is proposing to demolish the old golf clubhouse facility. The facility consists of approximately 4,442 square feet.
- 2. An environmental assessment, which is attached, was drafted and demonstrates that there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action. An environmental assessment was available for public review and comment from 16 May through 29 May 2004. No comments were received.
- 3. This document was prepared in accordance with the requirements of the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) of 1969, the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) regulations of 1978, and Air Force Instruction (AFI) 32-7061, *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process*. AFI 32-7061 addresses implementation of the NEPA and directs Air Force officials to consider the environmental consequences of any proposal as part of the decision-making process. This instruction has been recently amended and appears, as amended, in 32 CFR Part 989. It was determined that neither an environmental impact statement nor a formal environmental assessment is necessary. No further environmental documentation is necessary.
- 4. I have evaluated the attached environmental assessment and find no significant impacts on the quality of the human or natural environment from the proposed action.

ROBERT J. KING, Colonel, USAF Commander, 436<sup>th</sup> Mission Support Group

Attachments:

- 1. AF Form 813
- 2. Environmental Assessment

maintaining the data needed, and c including suggestions for reducing	lection of information is estimated to ompleting and reviewing the collect this burden, to Washington Headqu uld be aware that notwithstanding an DMB control number.	ion of information. Send comment arters Services, Directorate for Info	s regarding this burden estimate ormation Operations and Reports	or any other aspect of the s, 1215 Jefferson Davis	nis collection of information, Highway, Suite 1204, Arlington		
1. REPORT DATE JUN 2004		2. REPORT TYPE		TRED 1 to 00-00-2004			
4. TITLE AND SUBTITLE				5a. CONTRACT NUMBER			
<b>Environmental Ass</b>	sessment Demolition	e, Facility 827	5b. GRANT NUMBER				
				5c. PROGRAM E	ELEMENT NUMBER		
6. AUTHOR(S)				5d. PROJECT NUMBER			
		5e. TASK NUMBER					
			5f. WORK UNIT NUMBER				
7. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION NAME(S) AND ADDRESS(ES) 436th Civil Engineer Squadron (CES/CEV),600 Chevron Ave,Dover AFB,DE,19902					8. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER		
9. SPONSORING/MONITO	RING AGENCY NAME(S) A	ND ADDRESS(ES)		10. SPONSOR/MONITOR'S ACRONYM(S)			
				11. SPONSOR/M NUMBER(S)	ONITOR'S REPORT		
12. DISTRIBUTION/AVAIL Approved for publ	ABILITY STATEMENT ic release; distributi	on unlimited					
13. SUPPLEMENTARY NO	OTES						
14. ABSTRACT							
15. SUBJECT TERMS							
16. SECURITY CLASSIFIC	ATION OF:		17. LIMITATION OF ABSTRACT				
a. REPORT unclassified	b. ABSTRACT <b>unclassified</b>	c. THIS PAGE unclassified	Same as Report (SAR)	17	3.000		

**Report Documentation Page** 

Form Approved OMB No. 0704-0188

## ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT DEMOLITION OF GOLF CLUBHOUSE, FACILITY 827

#### 1.0 INTRODUCTION AND PROJECT DESCRIPTION

#### 1.1 ENVIRONMENTAL SETTING

Dover Air Force Base (DAFB) is located in Kent County, Delaware. The base is situated 3.5 miles southeast of the center of Dover, Delaware, the state capital. The base covers approximately 3,902 acres of land area including annexes, easements, and leased property.

Dover AFB has two active airfields. The north-south airfield at Dover AFB divides the main Base into two primary sections. Open space, recreational areas, and limited amounts of industrial uses are located east of the airfield. The land uses west of the airfield and east of U.S. Route 113 are industrial, airfield operations, administrative, community, medical, and some unaccompanied personnel housing. Eagle Heights Military Family House (MFH), temporary lodging quarters, a golf course, and additional unaccompanied personnel housing are located west of U.S. Route 113 and east of St. Jones River. The surrounding areas consist primarily of cropland and wetlands, with the Saint Jones River running adjacent to the southwestern corner of the base.

#### 1.2 PROJECT PURPOSE and NEED

The proposed project identified in the AF Form 813 would demolish an old golf clubhouse facility that has a 4,442 square foot area. The site for the entire project is slated for demolition due to the DOD directive to eliminate excess square footage. The proposed destruction is required for Dover AFB to remain in compliance.

#### 2.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

#### 2.1 NATIONAL ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT

The National Environmental Policy Act, commonly known as NEPA, is a Federal statute requiring the identification and analysis of potential environmental impacts of proposed Federal actions before those actions are taken. NEPA established the Council on Environmental Quality (CEQ) that is charged with the development of implementing regulations and ensuring agency compliance with NEPA. CEQ regulations mandate that all Federal agencies use a systematic interdisciplinary approach to environmental planning and the evaluation of actions that may affect the environment.

This process evaluates potential environmental consequences associated with a proposed action and considers alternative courses of action. The intent of NEPA is to protect, restore, or enhance the environment through well-informed Federal decisions.

The process for implementing NEPA is codified in Title 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Parts 1500-1508, *Regulations for Implementing the Procedural Provisions of the National Environmental Policy Act.* CEQ regulations specify the following must be accomplished when preparing an environmental assessment (EA):

- Briefly provide evidence and analysis for determining whether to prepare an environmental impact statement (EIS) or a finding of no significant impact (FONSI)
- Aid in an agency's compliance with NEPA when an EIS is unnecessary
- Facilitate preparation of an EIS when one is necessary

Air Force Policy Directive (AFPD) 32-70, *Environmental Quality*, states that the U.S. Air Force (USAF) will comply with applicable Federal, state, and local environmental laws and regulations, including NEPA. The USAF's implementing regulation for NEPA is *The Environmental Impact Analysis Process (EIAP)*, 32 CFR Part 989, as amended.

## 2.2 INTEGRATION OF OTHER ENVIRONMENTAL STATUTES AND REGULATIONS

To comply with NEPA, the planning and decision-making process for actions proposed by Federal agencies involves a study of other relevant environmental statutes and regulations. The NEPA process, however, does not replace procedural or substantive requirements of other environmental statutes and regulations. It addresses them collectively in the form of an EA or EIS, which enables the decision-maker to have a comprehensive view of major environmental issues and requirements associated with the Proposed Action. According to CEQ regulations, the requirements of NEPA must be integrated "with other planning and environmental review procedures required by law or by agency so that all such procedures run concurrently rather than consecutively."

The EA examines potential effects of the Proposed Action and alternatives on seven resource areas including air quality, biological resources, cultural resources, geological resources, hazardous materials and waste, safety, and water resources. Four resource areas are omitted from analysis include infrastructure, noise, land use, and socioeconomics and environmental justice. The basis for the omissions is described in section 4.0. The following paragraphs present examples of relevant laws, regulations, and other requirements that are often considered as part of the analysis.

#### 2.2.1 Air Quality

The Clean Air Act (CAA) establishes Federal policy to protect and enhance the quality of the nation's air resources to protect human health and the environment. The CAA requires that adequate steps be implemented to control the release of air pollutants and prevent significant deterioration in air quality. The 1990 amendments to the CAA require Federal agencies to determine the

conformity of proposed actions with respect to State Implementation Plans (SIPs) for attainment of air quality goals.

### 2.2.2 Biological Resources

The Endangered Species Act (ESA) requires Federal agencies that fund, authorize, or implement actions to avoid jeopardizing the continued existence of federally listed threatened or endangered species, or destroying or adversely affecting their critical habitat. Federal agencies must evaluate the effects of their actions through a set of defined procedures, which can include preparation of a Biological Assessment and formal consultation with the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS).

EO 11990, Protection of Wetlands, requires that Federal agencies provide leadership and take actions to minimize or avoid the destruction, loss, or degradation of wetlands and to preserve and enhance the natural and beneficial values of wetlands.

The CWA, under Section 404, contains provisions for protection of wetlands and establishes a permitting process for activities having potential effects in wetland areas. Wetlands, riverine, and open water systems are considered waters of the United States and, as such, fall under the regulatory jurisdiction of the U.S. Army Corps of Engineers (USACE).

#### 2.2.3 Cultural Resources

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 (NHPA) provides the principal authority used to protect historic properties, establishes the National Register of Historic Places (NRHP), and defines, in Section 106, the requirements for Federal agencies to consider the effect of an action on properties on or eligible for the NRHP.

Protection of Historic and Cultural Properties (36 CFR 800 [1986]) provides an explicit set of procedures for Federal agencies to meet their obligations under the NHPA, including inventorying of resources and consultation with State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO).

The Archeological Resources Protection Act of 1979 ensures that Federal agencies protect and preserve archeological resources on Federal or Native American lands and establishes a permitting system to allow legitimate scientific study of such resources.

EO 13007, Indian Sacred Sites, requires that, to the extent practicable, Federal agencies accommodate access to and ceremonial use of Indian sacred sites by Indian religious practitioners and avoid adversely affecting the physical integrity of such sacred sites.

EO 13084, Consultation and Coordination with Indian Tribal Governments, requires that each Federal agency shall have an effective process to permit elected officials and other representatives of Indian tribal governments to provide meaningful and timely input in the development of regulatory policies or matters that uniquely affect their communities.

#### 2.2.4 Safety

Air Force Instruction (AFI) 91-301, Air Force Occupational and Environmental Safety, Fire Protection, and Health (AFOSH) Program, implements AFPD 91-3, Occupational Safety and Health, by outlining the AFOSH Program. The purpose of the AFOSH Program is to minimize loss of USAF resources and to protect USAF personnel from occupational deaths, injuries, or illnesses by managing risks. In conjunction with the USAF Mishap Prevention Program (AFI 91-202), these standards ensure all USAF workplaces meet Federal safety and health requirements. This instruction applies to all USAF activities.

#### 2.2.5 Water Resources

The Clean Water Act (CWA) of 1977 (33 United States Code [USC] 1344) and the Water Quality Act of 1987 (33 USC 1251, et seq., as amended) establish Federal policy to restore and maintain the chemical, physical, and biological integrity of the nation's waters, and where attainable, to achieve a level of water quality that provides for the protection and propagation of fish, shellfish, and wildlife, and recreation in and on the water.

Executive Order (EO) 11988, Floodplain Management, requires Federal agencies to take action to reduce the risk of flood damage; minimize the impacts of floods on human safety, health, and welfare; and restore and preserve the natural and beneficial values served by floodplains. Federal agencies are directed to consider the proximity of their actions to or within floodplains. Where information is unavailable, agencies are encouraged to delineate the extent of floodplains at their site.

Coastal Zone Management Act (CZMA) establishes goals for and a mechanism for states to control use and development of their coastal zones. CZMA applies to actions on federal lands only when state's coastal zone is affected. CZMA requires that federal agencies be consistent with enforceable policies of state coastal zone management programs when conducting or supporting activities within or outside the coastal zone that affect land use, water use, or natural resources of the coastal zone.

#### 2.2.6 Infrastructure

Infrastructure consists of the systems and physical structures that enable a population in a given area to sustain itself. Consideration of infrastructure is applicable to a proposed action or alternative where there may be an issue with

respect to local capacities (e.g., utilities, transportation networks, energy) to provide the required support.

#### 2.2.7 Noise

Federal Aviation Administration (FAA) Part 150, Airport Noise Compatibility Planning, provides guidance to measure noise at airports and surrounding areas and determine exposure of individuals to noise that result from the operations of an airport. FAA Part 150 identifies those land uses which are normally compatible with various levels of exposure to noise by individuals. It also provides technical assistance to airport operators, in conjunction with other local, state, and Federal authorities, to prepare and execute appropriate noise compatibility planning and implementation programs (14 CFR 150).

#### 2.2.8 Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice

EO 12898, Federal Actions to Address Environmental Justice in Minority Populations and Low-Income Populations, directs Federal agencies to assess the effects of their actions on minority and low-income populations within their region of influence. Agencies are encouraged to include demographic information related to race and income in their analysis of the environmental and economic effects associated with their actions.

#### 3.0 DESCRIPTION OF PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

#### 3.1 PROPOSED ACTION

DAFB is building a new golf club house and now, the old club house is obsolete and considered excess square footage. According to a DOD directive, excess square footage will be demolished. The underground storage tank will also be removed to prevent future oil spills. The old golf clubhouse, also known as facility 827, is located on Fourteenth Street, south of the maintenance facility on the edge of the golf course.

#### 3.2 NO-ACTION ALTERNATIVE

The no-action alternative would maintain the status quo. The building will continue to degrade and occupy valuable land space. The DOD directive will also place Dover AFB in violation of excess facility square footage.

#### 4.0 ENVIRONMENTAL CONSEQUENCES

Section 4.0 describes the environmental and socioeconomic resources and conditions most liked to be affected by the proposed action.

In compliance with NEPA, CEQ guideline, and 32 CFR Part 989, as amended, the description of the affected environment focuses on those resources and conditions potentially subject to impacts. Some environmental resources and conditions that are often analyzed in an EA have been omitted from this analysis. The following details the basis for such exclusions:

- Infrastructure. The proposed action or the alternative action would not involve any activities that would contribute to major changes with respect to local capacities (e.g., utilities, transportation networks, energy) to provide the required support. The existing utilities would be utilized. The traffic patterns would not change in MFH. Accordingly, the detailed examination of the infrastructure has been omitted.
- Noise. Implementation of the proposed action or the alternative action would not involve permanent alterations to aircraft inventories, operations, or missions. No new permanent ground-based heavy equipment operations would be included in the proposed action or the alternative action. No activity included in the proposed action or the alternative action would result in a situation where residences would be impacted by an increase in present ambient noise levels. Furthermore, noise produced by construction activities associated with the proposed action or the alternative action would be temporary and would not significantly affect sensitive receptors. Accordingly, the detailed examination of noise has been omitted.
- Land Use. All activities associated with the proposed action or the alternative action would be consistent with present and foreseeable land use patterns at Dover AFB. Implementation of the proposed action or the alternative action would not alter the existing land use at Dover AFB. The surrounding land is not considered prime farmland. Accordingly, the detailed examination of land use has been omitted.
- Socioeconomics and Environmental Justice. The proposed action or the alternative action would not involve any activities that would contribute to changes in socioeconomic resources. There would be no change in the number of personnel assigned to DAFB, therefore there would be no changes in area population or associated changes in demand for housing and services. Accordingly, the detailed examination of socioeconomics has been omitted.

Environmental Justice addresses the potential for a proposed federal action to cause disproportionate and adverse health effects on minority or low-income populations. Adverse health effects are not expected, therefore minority and low-income population data is not analyzed in this assessment. There would be no environmental justice concerns associated with the proposed action or the alternative action. Accordingly, the detailed examination of environmental justice has been omitted.

#### 4.1 AIR QUALITY

#### Proposed Action

Dover AFB is an area of severe non-attainment for ozone. The priority air pollutants of concern are nitrogen oxides (NOx) and Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs). No long-term air quality impacts are expected from the proposed action. The proposed action would generate air pollutant emissions as a result of grading, filling, compacting, and paving operations, but these emissions would be temporary and would not be expected to generate any off-site impacts. Central heating, ventilation, and air conditioning will be fueled by natural gas, thus the air emissions would not impact threshold air requirements. The proposed action would generate VOC emissions as a result of using solvents, paints, thinners, and coatings, but these emissions would be temporary, *de minimis*, and would not be expected to generate any off-site impacts. Therefore, a Clean Air Act amendment section 176(c) conformity determination is not required.

#### No-Action Alternative

Air quality would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.2 BIOLOGICAL RESOURCES

#### Proposed Action

After reviewing the 1998 Wetland Survey, it was determined that the proposed project will not impact wetlands or the 100-year floodplain. The proposed action will be on the edge of base fence line just west of US route 113 and east of the golf course (Figure 1).

According to the Biological/Ecological Inventory, dated 1993, there are no known occurrences of federally listed threatened and endangered animal or plant on Dover AFB. There is one plant that is of State Concern. It is located on the sixth hole of Dover AFB's golf course and will not be impacted by the proposed action. (Figure 2).

There are no known plants of State Concern near the golf club house vicinity.

#### No-Action Alternative

Rare and endangered species would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.3 CULTURAL RESOURCES

#### Proposed Action

There are no known cultural resources sites near the former golf clubhouse, according to the Cultural Resources Management Plan prepared in 2000. Therefore, the proposed project site will have no impact on associated prehistoric or historic sites.

#### No-Action Alternative

Cultural resources would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.4 GEOLOGY

#### Proposed Action

Slopes in the proposed areas are shallow to flat and the proposed action would not cause or create significant changes to the topography of the Dover AFB area.

#### No-Action Alternative

Topography would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.5 HAZARDOUS MATERIALS/HAZARDOUS WATER

#### Proposed Action

Testing the facility for lead paint is required before the proposed destruction activities are initiated. It is anticipated that the quantity of products containing hazardous materials used during the demolition would be minimal and their use would be of short duration. Contractors would be responsible for the management of hazardous materials, which would be handled in accordance with Federal and state regulations. Contractors will also be responsible for removing the underground storage tank that is associated with facility 827.

The demolition activities associated with the proposed action could potentially create hazardous material. There are residual contaminants in the soil that may not allow for unrestricted disposal of excavated soils. Any excavated soil that is not suitable for use on site must be stockpiled on site and tested to determine proper disposal requirements. A full TCLP scan including metals, organics (volatiles and semi-volatiles), pesticides, ignitability, reactivity, and corrosivity must be done

The sample results must be submitted to CES/CEV for interpretation. CEV will use the hazardous waste limitations in the CFRs when evaluating the TCLP results to determine if the soil must be disposed of as hazardous waste. The other remaining

parameters are required for disposal at a Delaware Solid Waste Authority (DSWA) facility and have associated DSWA limitations. Those limitations will be compared to the results to determine if the soil can be disposed of within the State of Delaware, only if the soil is not a hazardous waste. If soil is hazardous waste, it must be disposed of accordingly at a disposal facility permitted to accept hazardous waste. If the soil is non-hazardous waste but does not meet the limitations of the DSWA, the soil must be disposed of at a disposal facility permitted to accept such waste.

Hazardous materials usage will not be increased.

#### No-Action Alternative

Hazardous materials would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.6 OCCUPATIONAL SAFETY AND HEALTH

#### Proposed Action

An asbestos & lead paint sampling will be conducted prior to disturbing any existing structures that would be demolished. Any asbestos or lead based paint encountered would be handled in accordance with, State law and established Air Force policies, rules, and regulations.

### No-Action Alternative

Occupational safety and health would not be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 4.7 WATER RESOURCES

#### Proposed Action

The groundwater is contaminated with chlorinated solvents. The proposed project should not impact the groundwater table; however if it does, any dewatering taking place will need to be analyzed to verify that it is not hazardous and personnel need to wear the appropriate PPE. There will be no impact of chlorinated solvents affecting the building.

There will be approximately 4,442 square feet of impervious surface removed from the area of the proposed demolition. This land will be returned to a permeable surface after the demolition of the old golf club house. Thus proposed project will create a decrease in impervious surface and will not require any modification to the existing storm water management system. A sediment and erosion control plan will be developed and implemented during construction.

#### No-Action Alternative

No water resources will be impacted by the no-action alternative.

#### 5.0 CONCLUSION

Two alternatives were evaluated with regards to this project. Under the No Action Alternative, the vacant facilities proposed for demolition would remain in place in their current condition. The facilities would continue to deteriorate, affecting the safety and aesthetics of the base. The vacant buildings would cause utility and maintenance costs continue to increase at Dover AFB and the base would not meet the DOD directive to eliminate excess square footage from military bases. There would be no change from the existing conditions at the installation. This alternative would not address the cost and safety requirements of the AMC and Dover AFB.

The second option is the proposed action to demolish the old golf club house, facility 827. Demolition of the old golf club house will keep Dover AFB in compliance with the mandated DOD directive. The additional free space will also allow future programming planning. Therefore, based on the reasons previously stated and the fact that there are no negative environmental impacts associated with the proposed action, the proposed action is the preferred action.

#### 6.0 LIST OF PREPARERS

Heidgerd, Emily, 2lt. 436 CES/CEV

Contribution: Author

#### 7.0 LIST OF CONTACTS

Lavender, Gina 436 CES/CECP

Purpose of Contact: Proponent

DiSalvo, Lee 436 CES/CEV

Purpose of Contact: Water information

Deramo, Jo Anne 436 CES/ CEV

Purpose of Contact: ERP information

#### 8.0 REFERENCES

"Dover Air Force Base, Cultural Resources Management Plan", October 2000 "Biological and Ecological Inventory of Dover Air Force Base, Delaware", November 1993

#### ABBREVIATIONS AND ACRONYMS

AFI - Air Force Instruction

AFOSH - Air Force Occupational and Environmental Safety, Fire Protection, and Health

AFPD - Air Force Policy Directive

CAA - Clean Air Act

CEQ - Council on Environmental Quality

CFR - Code of Federal Regulations

CWA - Clean Water Act

CZMA - Coastal Zone Management Act

DAFB - Dover Air Force Base

EA - Environmental Assessment

EIAP - Environmental Impact Analysis Process

EIS - Environmental Impact Statement

EO - Executive Order

ESA - Endangered Species Act

FAA - Federal Aviation Administration

FONSI - Finding of No Significant Impact

MFH - Military Family Housing

NEPA - National Environmental Policy Act

NHPA - National Historic Preservation Act

NOx - Nitrogen Oxide(s)

NRHP - National Register of Historic Places

SHPO - State Historic Preservation Office

SIP - State Implementation Plan

SR - State Route

USC - United States Code

USACE - U.S. Army Corps of Engineers

USAF - U.S. Air Force

VOC - Volatile Organic Compound



## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

3 June 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

FROM: 436 AW/JA

SUBJECT: FONSI for Demolition of Golf Clubhouse

1. I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the demolition of the Golf Clubhouse. I find both documents to be in compliance with 40 CFR 1501.3 and 1508.9, as implemented by AFI 32-7061, paragraph 3.3. Additionally, the EA was made available for public review and comment and no comments were received.

2. **RECOMMENDATION:** Sign the FONSI.

MARC A. JONES, Maj, USAF Deputy Staff Judge Advocate

1st Ind, 436 AW/JA

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

Concur/Non-concur

DONNA MARIE VERCHIO, Lt Col, USAF

Staff Judge Advocate

STAFF SUMMAR ( SHEET											
	TO	ACTION	SIGNATURE (Surnan	ne), GRADE AND DATE	IT	ТО	ACTION	SIGNATUR	E (Surname), GRADE AND DATE		
1	AW/JA	Coord	Verchi. De	Mel 3 mon	6						
2	MSG/CC	Sign	,,,,,,,,,	7,04	7						
3	CES/CEV	Action			8						
4					9						
5					10						
SURNAME OF ACTION OFFICER AND GRADE SYMBOL Mikula, GS-13			PHONE 6849		TYPIST'S INITIALS eh	SUSPENSE DATE					
	BJECT nding of No S	DATE 20040602									

#### SUMMARY

- 1. PURPOSE. To request MSG/CC to sign the Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the Demolition of the Golf Clubhouse (tab 1).
- 2. A Request for an Environmental Impact Analysis, AF Form 813, is enclosed (tab 2).
- 3. An environmental assessment (EA) for the Demolition of the Golf Clubhouse, Facility 827. (tab 3). The EA indicates there are no significant environmental impacts from the proposed action.
- 4. RECOMMENDATION: MSG/CC sign the enclosed FONSI.

M.A. PERZA
Deputy Base Civil Engineer

- 3 Tabs
- 1. FONSI, for Destruction of Clubhouse Facility
- 2. AF Form 813
- 3. Environmental Assessment and Supporting Documentation



## DEPARTMENT OF THE AIR FORCE HEADQUARTERS 436TH AIRLIFT WING (AMC)

10 May 2004

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

FROM: 436 AW/JA

SUBJECT: FONSI for Demolition of Golf Clubhouse

1. I have reviewed the Environmental Assessment (EA) and Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) for the demolition of the Golf Clubhouse. I find both documents to be in compliance with 40 CFR 1501.3 and 1508.9, as implemented by AFI 32-7061, paragraph 3.3.

2. **RECOMMENDATION:** Sign the FONSI after publication of the EA and a reasonable amount of time for public comment on the EA.

MARC A. JONES, Maj, USAF Deputy Staff Judge Advocate

1st Ind, 436 AW/JA

MEMORANDUM FOR 436 MSG/CC

Concur/Non-concur

NANCY E. GRIFFIN, Lt Col, USAFR

Acting Staff Judge Advocate

# Delaware State State News

State of Delaware:

**SS.** 

County of Kent:

#### PUBLIC NOTICE DOVER AIR FORCE BASE

Dover Air Force Base (DAFB) is providing a public comment period regarding an environmental assessment associated with:

- A. The Demolition of the Golf Clubhouse, Facility 827.
- B. The Demolition of 8 facilities.
- C. The Relocation of the Aircraft Outdoor Washrack.
- D. The Relocation of the Oil/Water Separator and Lift Station.
- E. The Construction of a New Youth Center Facility.

A copy of the environmental assessment is available for review at the Dover Public Library, 45 State Street, Dover, DE 19901. Comments may be submitted in writing no later than May 29, 2004 to Mr. Charles Mikula, 436 CES/CEV, 600 Chevron Avenue, Dover AFB, DE 19902-5600. All comments received prior to May 29, 2004 will be considered in the final decision. 469757 DSN 05/16-19

Before me, a Notary Public, for the County and State aforesaid, personally appeared Tamra Brittingham, known to me to be such, who being swom according to law deposes and says that she is Publisher of the Delaware State News, a daily newspaper published at Dover, County of Kent and State of Delaware, and that the notice, a copy of which is hereto attached, was published in the Delaware State News in its issue of